

FILE
Superior Court of California
County of San Francisco



OCT 25 2022

CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: Clara Hernandez
Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT 613

KELLY ELLIS, HOLLY PEASE, KELLI
WISURI, and HEIDI LAMAR individually and
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE, LLC (formerly GOOGLE, INC.),

Defendant.

Case No. CGC-17-561299

JUDGMENT

On October 25, 2022, this Court granted final approval to the class settlement and entered its Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement (“Final Approval Order”).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. Judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of this Court’s Final Approval Order.

2. The Class is defined as:

Equal Pay Act Claim Class: “all women employed by Google in a Covered Position in California at any time from September 14, 2013 through the date on which the Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement who have not already validly opted out of the certified class in this Action.”

FEHA Claim Subclass: “all women employed by Google in a Covered Position in California at any time from September 14, 2013 through the date on which the Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement, excluding campus hires and women hired

1 after August 28, 2017 who have not already validly opted out of the certified class in this
2 Action.”

3 PAGA Group Member: “all women employed by Google in a Covered Position in
4 California at any time from one year prior to Plaintiffs filing the Second Amended
5 Complaint through the date on which the Court grants preliminary approval of the
6 Settlement, regardless of whether or not they have validly opted out of the certified class in
7 this Action or whether or not they opt out of the Settlement.”

8 3. The Class Representatives and each Settlement Class member who have not properly
9 excluded themselves shall take nothing from their complaint in this action except as set forth in (i) the Final
10 Approval Order; (ii) Settlement Agreement; and (iii) the Order Granting Motion for Approval of Attorneys’
11 Fees, Costs, and Class Representative Service Awards, also filed October 25, 2022.

12 4. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, section 664.6 of the California Code of Civil
13 Procedure, and rule 3.769(h) of the California Rules of Court, this Court retains jurisdiction over the Class
14 Representative, each Class Member who has not properly excluded themselves, and defendant, to enforce
15 the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Final Approval Order, and this Judgment.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: October 25, 2022



18 ANDREW Y.S. CHENG
19 Judge of the Superior Court
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE
(CCP 1010.6(6) & CRC 2.251)


I, CLARK BANAYAD, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On October 25, 2022, I electronically served the ATTACHED DOCUMENT(S) via File&ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File&ServeXpress website.

Dated: October 25, 2022

Mark Caulkin, Interim Clerk

By:



CLARK BANAYAD, Deputy Clerk